Empirical Convergence Across Adaptive Systems: Evidence for a General Persistence Structure

By Dean Tyldesley

Abstract

This paper presents a scientific integration of The Relative Framework (TRF) with existing empirical findings across cognitive science, neuroscience, information theory, cybernetics, resilience science, thermodynamics, and artificial intelligence. Rather than proposing a replacement for existing scientific models, this work argues that multiple contemporary disciplines independently converge upon recurring structural constraints governing persistent systems under finite conditions.

Empirical evidence from predictive processing, active inference, bounded rationality, cognitive load theory, entropy accumulation, collapse dynamics, and information bottleneck theory is examined as support for the core persistence variables of The Relative Framework: relational divergence (Δ), irreversible accumulation (K), and finite resolution capacity (ρ).

The paper further argues that while these mechanisms are typically studied in isolation, TRF provides a generalized persistence architecture capable of integrating prediction error minimization, adaptive compression, threshold collapse dynamics, and bounded coherence into a unified structural framework.

This synthesis positions TRF not as a speculative metaphysical system, but as a proposed persistence formalism grounded in empirically observable behaviors recurring across multiple scientific domains.

1. Introduction

Across neuroscience, cognitive science, artificial intelligence, cybernetics, resilience theory, thermodynamics, and information theory, systems are repeatedly observed to operate under finite adaptive conditions.

Modern scientific disciplines independently describe:
• prediction error minimization,
• bounded cognition,
• entropy accumulation,
• adaptive compression,
• resilience thresholds,
• and collapse dynamics.

Despite describing structurally similar behaviors, these mechanisms remain fragmented across domain-specific models.

The Relative Framework (TRF) proposes that these independently observed phenomena may represent manifestations of a generalized persistence condition governing finite relational systems.

This paper therefore examines whether current empirical findings already support the structural variables underlying TRF:
$\Delta \rightarrow$ relational divergence,
$K \rightarrow$ irreversible accumulation,
$\rho \rightarrow$ finite resolution capacity,
$\Sigma \rightarrow$ normalized structural stress.

The purpose of this work is not to reject existing scientific models, but to investigate whether their recurring structural similarities may be unified within a generalized persistence architecture.

2. Empirical Support for the Knowledge Acquisition Paradox

The Knowledge Acquisition Paradox (KAP) formalizes the relationship between knowledge expansion and increasing awareness of uncertainty:

$$\frac{dU}{dK} = \alpha \cdot n \cdot K^{(n-1)}$$

where:
U = perceived unknown,
K = accumulated knowledge.

Empirical support for this relationship appears prominently within cognitive psychology and expertise research.

The Dunning–Kruger effect experimentally demonstrated that individuals with low competence systematically overestimate understanding, while increased expertise correlates with heightened awareness of uncertainty and complexity.

This pattern has since been replicated across multiple domains including medicine, education, engineering, and scientific specialization.

Scientific frontier research further supports this structural relationship. As expertise deepens, exposure to unresolved complexity expands rather than contracts. Researchers do not encounter decreasing uncertainty proportional to knowledge gain; instead, increasing knowledge exposes additional unresolved structure.

TRF extends these observations by formalizing uncertainty expansion as a structural property of bounded epistemic systems rather than merely a psychological bias.

Under finite resolution capacity, epistemic expansion necessarily increases exposure to higher-dimensional informational manifolds, producing recursive uncertainty growth.

3. Finite Resolution Capacity and Cognitive Constraint

Finite processing capacity is one of the most empirically validated constraints in modern cognitive science.

Working memory research consistently demonstrates that humans can actively maintain only limited informational structures simultaneously. Cognitive load theory further demonstrates that excessive informational burden degrades performance and coherence.

Herbert Simon's bounded rationality similarly established that decision systems operate under finite informational and computational limits.

Comparable constraints appear throughout artificial intelligence and machine learning:
- context-window limitations in transformer architectures,
- computational bottlenecks,
- dimensionality constraints,
- finite memory bandwidth,
- and resource-limited optimization.

TRF generalizes these constraints through finite resolution capacity (ρ).

Resolution capacity defines the maximum structural complexity a system can integrate while preserving coherent persistence.

The persistence condition may therefore be represented as:

$$\Sigma(t) = (\Delta(t) + K(t)) / \rho$$

where persistent coherence requires bounded total load relative to finite resolution capacity.

This relationship extends bounded rationality from human cognition into a generalized persistence condition applicable across biological, computational, and institutional systems.

4. Divergence Minimization and Predictive Processing

Predictive processing and active inference models propose that biological systems minimize prediction error between internal generative models and external sensory input.

Neuroscientific evidence strongly supports predictive coding mechanisms within cortical processing hierarchies. Organisms continuously reduce mismatch between expected and observed states through adaptive updating.

TRF formalizes a structurally comparable relation through relational divergence:

$$\Delta(t) = \| \Phi(M(t)) - \Phi(E(t)) \|$$

where:
$M(t)$ = internal model state,
$E(t)$ = environmental state.

Empirical manifestations of divergence minimization appear across multiple domains:

- homeostatic regulation,
- cybernetic control systems,
- reinforcement learning,
- adaptive feedback systems,
- and error-correction architectures.

TRF extends predictive processing by integrating irreversible accumulation and finite adaptive capacity directly into persistence dynamics.

Existing predictive frameworks frequently optimize local error reduction. TRF instead proposes that systems operate longitudinally under cumulative structural burden.

This distinction becomes particularly important in long-horizon adaptive systems such as:

• institutional governance,
• recursive AI architectures,
• ecological systems,
• and persistent cognitive agents.

5. Compression as a Structural Persistence Requirement

Compression mechanisms appear repeatedly throughout biological and computational systems.

Predictive processing compresses sensory complexity into generative abstractions. Deep neural networks construct compressed latent representations preserving relational structure while reducing informational burden.

Human cognition similarly employs:
• abstraction,
• chunking,
• semantic compression,
• and pattern generalization.

Information bottleneck theory demonstrates that systems preserving relevant structure while minimizing informational complexity achieve improved adaptive efficiency.

TRF formalizes compression as a structural necessity for persistence under finite conditions.

As informational exposure increases, systems incapable of reducing redundancy accumulate increasing divergence and stress. Compression therefore functions as a persistence-preserving mechanism permitting bounded coherence under expansion pressure.

This relationship is observable within:
• neural efficiency,

• evolutionary adaptation,
• machine learning latent-space representations,
• language abstraction,
• and institutional simplification processes.

Compression is therefore not merely optimization. Under finite resolution capacity, it becomes structurally necessary for sustained persistence.

6. Structural Stress and Empirical Collapse Dynamics

Threshold collapse dynamics are widely observed throughout complex systems research.

Empirical examples include:
• ecosystem collapse,
• financial crashes,
• infrastructure failure,
• institutional overload,
• cognitive burnout,
• and neural destabilization.

These systems frequently exhibit nonlinear transition behavior once cumulative stress exceeds adaptive capacity.

TRF formalizes this relation through normalized structural stress:

$$\Sigma(t) = (\Delta(t) + K(t)) / \rho$$

where:
$\Sigma < 1 \rightarrow$ Coherent,
$\Sigma = 1 \rightarrow$ Saturated,
$\Sigma > 1 \rightarrow$ Collapse.

This formulation parallels resilience theory and tipping-point research within complex adaptive systems.

The primary progression introduced by TRF is the integration of:
• divergence,
• irreversible accumulation,
• and finite adaptive capacity

into a unified longitudinal persistence law.

Rather than treating collapse as domain-specific, TRF proposes that threshold failure emerges whenever cumulative structural burden exceeds finite persistence capacity.

7. Irreversible Accumulation and Entropic Load

Irreversible accumulation is foundational within thermodynamics, biology, ecology, and information systems.

Entropy accumulation establishes directional irreversibility within physical systems. Biological aging reflects cumulative structural degradation. Ecological systems accumulate irreversible environmental burden.

Artificial systems similarly accumulate:
• representational residue,
• memory fragmentation,
• optimization debt,
• and alignment drift.

TRF formalizes this through irreversible accumulation K(t):

$K(t+1) \geq K(t)$

This monotonic relation ensures that persistent systems cannot indefinitely absorb divergence without increasing structural pressure.

Unlike short-horizon optimization frameworks, TRF explicitly incorporates

cumulative historical burden into persistence modeling.

This distinction becomes increasingly relevant for:
• long-duration AI systems,
• persistent institutions,
• ecological sustainability,
• and adaptive governance structures.

Persistence therefore depends not merely upon present correction capability, but upon the relationship between cumulative irreversible load and finite adaptive capacity over time.

8. Comparative Structural Analysis

The scientific significance of TRF emerges not from isolated concepts individually, but from structural integration.

Existing frameworks independently formalize:
• prediction error minimization,
• bounded cognition,
• adaptive compression,
• entropy accumulation,
• resilience thresholds,
• and collapse dynamics.

TRF proposes that these may represent domain-specific expressions of a generalized persistence architecture.

Comparative structural mapping:

Active Inference:
prediction error minimization → divergence reduction

Bounded Rationality:
finite cognition → finite resolution capacity

Information Bottleneck Theory:
compression efficiency → persistence-preserving abstraction

Resilience Science:
threshold collapse → $\Sigma \geq 1$

Thermodynamics:
entropy accumulation → irreversible load K

TRF therefore progresses current discourse by integrating independently validated mechanisms into a unified persistence formalism applicable across multiple scientific domains.

9. Falsifiable Predictions and Future Research

The Relative Framework generates several empirically testable predictions.

Prediction 1:
Systems with greater compression efficiency should delay saturation thresholds under equal irreversible accumulation.

Prediction 2:
Increasing informational exposure without proportional compression will increase instability and divergence accumulation.

Prediction 3:
Persistent adaptive systems operating under finite resource constraints will spontaneously evolve compression architectures.

Prediction 4:
Long-duration AI systems will exhibit increasing structural instability if irreversible representational accumulation exceeds adaptive correction capacity.

Future work should therefore focus on:
• computational simulation,
• longitudinal stress modeling,
• empirical parameterization,
• cross-domain persistence analysis,
• and adaptive collapse prediction.

10. Conclusion

This paper has argued that the primary structural variables underlying The Relative Framework are strongly supported by empirical findings already present across contemporary scientific discourse.

Prediction error minimization supports divergence regulation. Bounded rationality supports finite resolution capacity. Information theory supports compression necessity. Resilience science supports threshold collapse dynamics. Thermodynamics supports irreversible accumulation.

The progression introduced by TRF lies in structural integration.

Rather than replacing existing scientific theories, the framework proposes that many independently validated mechanisms may already describe domain-specific manifestations of a generalized persistence condition governing finite relational systems.

Under this interpretation, persistence itself becomes the central structural problem unifying cognition, biological regulation, adaptive computation, institutional resilience, and complex-system stability under finite constraints.

References

Ashby, W. R. (1956). An Introduction to Cybernetics.

Baddeley, A. (1992). Working memory. Science.

Dunning, D., & Kruger, J. (1999). Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology.

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? Nature Reviews Neuroscience.

Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems.

Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two.

Shannon, C. E. (1948). A Mathematical Theory of Communication.

Simon, H. A. (1957). Models of Man: Social and Rational.

Tishby, N., Pereira, F., & Bialek, W. (1999). The information bottleneck method.

Wiener, N. (1948). Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine.

Copyright © Dean Tyldesley, 2026. This work may be shared, cited, and discussed with proper attribution. No commercial reproduction, proprietary implementation, or derivative system development based substantially upon the original structural formulations may be undertaken without express written permission from the author.